

S0040-4039(96)00589-8

An Efficient and High Yield Method for the N-tert-Butoxycarbonyl Protection of Sterically Hindered Amino Acids

Ehab M. Khalil, Nalin L. Subasinghe, and Rodney L. Johnson*

Department of Medicinal Chemistry, University of Minnesota, 308 Harvard Street, S.E., Minneapolis, MN 55455-0343

Abstract: An improved method for the *N*-tert-butoxycarbonyl protection of the amino functionality of α -alkylated prolines and other sterically hindered α , α -disubstituted amino acids has been developed in which the lipophilic base tetramethylammonium hydroxide is used to solubilize the otherwise insoluble zwitterionic amino acid in acetonitrile, thereby obviating the need for an aqueous medium. Copyright © 1996 Elsevier Science Ltd

The use of $C^{\alpha,\alpha}$ -disubstituted amino acids has proved to be a valuable tool in the design of peptidomimetics. The incorporation of these conformationally restricted building blocks into bioactive peptides provides a means for controlling their conformational flexibility and for studying their biologically relevant conformation. 1-5 Due to the sterically hindered nature of $C^{\alpha,\alpha}$ -disubstituted amino acids, problems are often encountered in protecting their amino group and in affecting their coupling into peptides. For example, the preparation of *N-tert*-butoxycarbonyl- α -aminoisobutyric acid (Boc-Aib-OH) and *N-tert*-butoxycarbonyl-1-aminocyclopentane-1-carboxylic acid (Boc-Ac₅c-OH) using standard conditions [NaOH, dioxane, H₂O and di-tert-butyl dicarbonate (Boc₂O)] has been reported to go in a yield of only 51% and 61%, respectively, as compared with the greater than 90% yields generally obtained with typical α -amino acids under the same reaction conditions. In another example where tert-butoxycarbonylazide was used with tetramethylguanidine in DMSO, *N-tert*-butoxycarbonyl- α -methylphenylalanine and *N-tert*-butoxycarbonyl- α -methylvaline were prepared in 70% and 13% yield, respectively. Although this method was reported as an improvement over other attempted methods, it suffered from a very long reaction time (3 weeks) and inconsistent results. More recently, the synthesis of *N-tert*-butoxycarbonyl-2,3-methano arginine was carried out using excess Boc₂O and NaOH in a mixture of t-BuOH/H₂O in a 40-60% yield.

In trying to prepare N-tert-butoxycarbonyl- α -allyl proline (2b) and other N-tert-butoxycarbonyl- α -alkylated prolines (Scheme 1) as key intermediates in the synthesis of conformationally constrained analogs of the dopamine receptor modulatory peptide Pro-Leu-Gly-NH₂, we observed yields (40-60%) comparable to those cited above when standard reaction conditions (NaOH, dioxane, H₂O, and Boc₂O) were used. Since the effort invested in preparing the enantiomerically pure α -alkylated prolines 1a-d was considerable, these yields were deemed unsatisfactory, thereby prompting us to develop a more efficient method. We initially experimented with adding a several fold excess (3-5 equivalents) of Boc₂O under the standard reaction

conditions, but observed only a modest improvement in yield. We suspected that because of the aqueous conditions and the slow course of the reaction (3-4 days) the Boc_2O was being hydrolyzed before it could react with the α -alkylated proline. We felt that the lifetime of Boc_2O in the reaction mixture could be prolonged by carrying out the reaction in an organic solvent. However, it was recognized that the poor solubility of the zwitterionic amino acid in aprotic solvents would be a limiting factor. To overcome the solubility problem, the lipophilic base tetramethylammonium hydroxide (TMAH) was employed. Formation of the tetramethylammonium salt of an α -alkylated proline amino acid enhanced the amino acid's solubility in organic solvents and therefore allowed for the reaction to be carried out in dry acetonitrile. These reaction conditions afforded excellent yields of the tert-butoxycarbonyl-protected α -substituted prolines 2a-d (Scheme 1) as summarized in Table 1. The yields obtained when the reaction was scaled up to as much as 45 mmoles of amino acid were comparable to those reported herein at 1 mmole.

Scheme 1.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{A:} \ R = CH_3 \\ \text{N} \ CO_2H \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{(Boc)}_2O, \ CH_3CN \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Boc} \\ \text{Boc} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{A:} \ R = CH_3 \\ \text{b:} \ R = CH_2CH = CH_2 \\ \text{c:} \ R = (CH_2)_2CH = CH_2 \\ \text{d:} \ R = CH_2CO_2CH_3 \end{array}$$

Table 1. Yields and chemical properties of tert-butoxycarbonyl-protected amino acids.^a

no.	compound	% yield	m.p.,°C	[α] ²⁵
2a	Boc-L-α-Me-Pro-OH	98p	129-132	-41.4° (c 1.45, CHCl ₃)
2 b	Boc-L-α-Allyl-Pro-OH	91 ^{b,c} , 61 ^d	116-118 ^e	+68.8° (c 0.78, MeOH) ^e
2 c	Boc-L-α-(3-Butenyl)-Pro-OH	97b,c	90-91	+36.2° (c 2.13, MeOH)
2d	Boc-L-α-(CH ₂ CO ₂ CH ₃)-Pro-OH	100 ^f	oil	+50.5° (c 0.52, CHCl ₃)
3	Boc-Ac ₃ c-OH	92 ^f	179-180 ^g	
4	Boc-Ac ₅ c-OH	88 ^{b,c}	133-135 ^h	
5	Boc-N-Me-Aib-OH	95 ^f	147-148 ⁱ	
6	(±)-Boc-Pip-OH	100 ^f	129-131 ^j	
	· •			

^a All compounds gave satisfactory analytical and ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectroscopic data. Analytical data the for newly synthesized compounds 2a, 2c, and 2d are given in ref. 12. ^b Yield of recrystallized product. ^c Yield reported is an average of two runs. ^d Yield obtained using TMAH as a 25% solution in MeOH. ^e Lit. ⁹ m.p. 118-119°C, [α]_D +72.5° (c 1.2, MeOH). ^f Yield obtained after extensive drying of the reaction product *in vacuo*. ^g Lit. ¹³ m.p. 176-177°C. ^h Lit. ⁶ m.p. 130.5-131.5°C. ⁱ Lit. ⁶ m.p. 153.0-153.5°C. ^j Lit. ¹⁴ m.p. 126-127°C.

Optimal results were obtained when 1.5 equivalents of (Boc)₂O were used initially followed by an additional 0.5 equivalents of (Boc)₂O two days later. When lower amounts of (Boc)₂O were used lower yields would result. For example, when 2c was made using only 1.2 equivalents of (Boc)₂O a yield of 82% was obtained. This is in contrast to the 97% yield obtained when a total of 2 equivalents was used. Also, experiments were conducted using TMAH either as the solid pentahydrate or as a 25% solution in methanol (both reagents were purchased from the Aldrich Chemical Company). As can be seen by entry 2b in Table 1, better results were obtained when the pentahydrate form was used. Accordingly this form of TMAH was used in the preparation of all the other tert-butoxycarbonyl-protected sterically hindered amino acids.

Because of the success in preparing tert-butoxycarbonyl- α -substituted prolines through the use of the new method described above, the method was tried on other α -substituted amino acids in which difficulties previously had been encountered in protecting the amino group. Thus, 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (Ac₃c), 1-aminocyclopentane-1-carboxylic acid (Ac₅c), N-methyl- α -aminoisobutyric acid (N-Me-Aib), and pipecolic acid (Pip) were all reacted with (Boc)₂O and TMAH to give the tert-butoxycarbonyl-protected derivatives 3-6, respectively. As shown in Table 1, very high yields were achieved in each case.

In summary, a new, simple, and efficient method for the *tert*-butoxycarbonyl protection of hindered amino acids has been developed. As illustrated in Table 2 much improved yields were obtained with this new method in comparison to yields reported previously with other methods. As demonstrated by the variety of hindered amino acids investigated in this study, this method should find application with other sterically hindered amino acids.

Table 2. Comparison of the yields for tert-butoxycarbonyl-protection of sterically hindered amino acids.

	% yield		
compound	present work	previously reported	
2 b	91	64 ⁹	
3	92	85 ¹³	
4	88	61 ⁶	
6	100	6014	

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by an NIH grant (NS20036) to R.L.J. We thank P.W. Baures for providing samples of compounds 1a and 1d.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. Toniolo, C.; Benedetti, E. Macromolecules, 1991, 24, 4004-4009.
- Crisma, M.; Bonora, G. M.; Toniolo, C.; Barone, V.; Benedetti, E.; Di Blasio, B.; Pavone, V.; Pedone, C.; Santini, A.; Fraternali, F.; Bavoso, A.; Lelj, F. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 1989, 11, 345-352.

- Crisma, M.; Valle, G.; Bonora, G. M.; Toniolo, C.; Lelj, F.; Barone, V.; Fraternali, F.; Hardy, P. M.; Mala, H. L. S. Biopolymers 1991, 31, 637-641.
- 4. Di Blasio, B.; Pavone, V.; Lombardi, A.; Pedone, C.; Benedetti, E. Biopolymers 1993, 33, 1037-1049.
- Burgess, A.W. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 1994, 91, 2649-2653.
- 6. Spencer, J. R.; Antonenko, V. V.; Delaet, N. G. J.; Goodman, M. Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 1992, 40, 282-293.
- 7. Turk, J.; Panse, G. T.; Marshall, G. R. J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 953-955.
- 8. Burgess, K.; Lim, D.; Ho, K.; Ke, C. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 2179-2185.
- 9. Genin, M. J.; Johnson, R. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 8778-8783.
- 10. Seebach, D.; Boes, M.; Naef, R.; Schweizer, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 5390-5398.
- 11. General procedure: Free α,α-disubstituted amino acid (1.0 mmol) and TMAH (1.0 mmol) were added to CH₃CN (5-10 ml) which had been freshly distilled from CaH₂. The mixture was stirred at room temperature until a solution was formed (generally, solutions formed within 30 minutes with the exception of entry 4 which never dissolved completely). Boc₂O (1.5 mmol) was then added and stirring was continued for 2 days. On the third day, another 0.5 mmol of Boc₂O was added and the mixture stirred for another day. The CH₃CN was removed *in vacuo* and the residue was partitioned between H₂O and Et₂O. The aqueous layer was washed with an additional portion of Et₂O and then acidified with solid citric acid to pH 3-4. The aqueous solution was extracted three times with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were washed with H₂O, dried (MgSO₄) and the EtOAc then removed *in vacuo* to give the *tent*-butoxycarbonyl-protected amino acid as a white solid that was recrystallized from Et₂O (compounds 2a-c and 4). In the case of compounds 3, 5, and 6, recrystallization was not carried out as the material obtained in each case had a m.p. that matched a previously reported m.p. (see Table 1) and the spectral data was consistent with the proposed structure. Compound 2d was obtained as a clear oil and attempts at crystallization were unsuccessful. However, the material was shown to be pure by ¹H and ¹³C NMR and elemental analysis.
- Rotamers are observed about the carbamate bond in both ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra for 2a, 2c, and 2d. 12. N-tert-Butoxycarbonyl-L-α-methyproline (2a). ¹H NMR (300MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.39, 1.42 (s, 9H, Boc); 1.49, 1.57 (s, 3H, α -CH₂); 1.82-1.94 (m, 3H, β -CH₂ and γ -CH₂); 2.20-2.45 (m, 1H, β -CH₂); 3.38-3.62 (m, 2H, δ -CH₂); 11.6-11.8 (br s, 1H, COOH). ¹³C (CDCl₃): δ 22.85, 23.66 (γ-C); 23.45 (α-CH₂); 28.93, 29.06 (Boc CH₂); 39.56, 40.96 (β-C); 48.42, 48.99 (δ-C); 65.40, 66.40 (α-C); 81.09, 81.28 (Boc C-O); 154.31, 155.82 (Boc C=O); 179.40, 181.69 (COOH). Anal. Calcd for 2a (C₁₁H₁₉NO₄): C, 57.62; H, 8.35; N, 6.11. Found: C, 57.86; H, 8.65; N, 6.19. N-tert-Butoxycarbonyl-L-α-(3-butenyl)-proline (2c). ¹H NMR (300MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.42, 1.49 (s, 9H, Boc); 1.7-2.3 (m, 7H, β-CH₂, γ-CH₂, and CH₂CH₂CH=CH₂); 2.70-2.78 (m, 1H, CH₂CH₂CH=CH₂); 3.26-3.78 (m, 2H, δ-CH₂); 4.94-5.06 (m, 2H, CH=CH₂); 5.70-5.86 (m, 1H, CH=CH₂); 11.05 (br s, 1H, COOH). ¹³C (CDCl₃): δ 23.35 (γ-C); 28.56, 29.02 (Boc CH₃); 34.20, 34.66, 35.74, 38.15, and 38.20 (B-C and CH₂CH₂CH=CH₂); 49.22, 49.95 (8-C); 70.92 (α-C); 82.73 (Boc C-O); 115.31, 115.83 (CH=CH₂); 137.87, 138.69 (CH=CH₂); 157.73 (Boc C=O); 175.39 (COOH). Anal. Calcd for 2c (C₁₄H₂₃NO₄): C, 62.43; H, 8.61; N, 5.20. Found: C, 62.52; H, 8.46; N, 5.46. N-tert-Butoxycarbonyl-L-α-(methoxycarbonylmethyl)-proline (2d). ¹H NMR (300MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.42, 1.44 (s, 9H, Boc); 1.8-2.1 (m, 2H, γ-CH₂); 2.3-2.8 (m, 2H, β-CH₂); 2.9-3.3 (CH₂CO₂CH₃); 3.35-3.61 (m, 2H, δ-CH₂); 3.65 (s, 3H, OCH₃); 10.99 (br s, 1H, COOH). ¹³C (CDCl₃): δ 23.29, 23.68 (γ-C); 28.89, 29.00 (Boc CH₃); 36.52, 38.37, 38.09, and 39.77 (β-C and CH₂CO₂Me); 48.69, 49.12 (δ-C); 52.38 (OCH₂); 66.20, 67.05 (α-C); 81.56, 81.66 (Boc C-O); 153.9, 155.8 (Boc C=O); 171.60 (CO₂Me); 177.72, 179.89 (COOH). Anal. Calcd for 2d (C₁₃H₂₁NO₆): C, 54.35; H, 7.37; N, 4.88. Found: C, 54.17; H, 7.25; N, 4.60.
- Valle, G.; Crisma, M.; Toniolo, C.; Holt, E. M.; Tamura, M.; Bland, J.; Stammer, C. H. Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 1989, 34, 56-65.
- 14. Chaturvedi, N. C.; Park, W. K.; Smeby, R. R.; Bumpus, F. M. J. Med. Chem. 1970, 13, 177-181.

(Received in USA 1 March 1996; accepted 22 March 1996)